SINGLE BENCH

3

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1598 /2005

PETITIONER:-

Chhannu Ram Baghel
S/O- Bajju Ram Baghel
Aged about 42 years
R/O- Village- Udkuda,
Tehsil- Charama
Distt- Kanker (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1468/05
Presented by Shri Hemant Jha
dated 05-Dec-05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:-

1) State of Chhattisgarh
Through:- secretary
Panchayat and Rural
Development Department
D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

2) Chief Executive Officer
District- Panchayat
Kanker (C.G.)

3) Lakhan Lal Thakur
Village- Katagaon ,
P.O.- Korar
The & Distt- Kanker (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THECONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUEANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT (S) OR ORDER(S) OR DIRECTION (S)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर 31

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 1598/05 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

27-4-2005

Shri Virendra Sharma, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sandep Dubey, G.A. for the State.

Heard both the counsel.

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

The petitoner's grievance is that in the year 1996 he was selected as Shiksha Karmi Grade-I in the Higher Secondary School and along with other eligible candidates he was called for interview. Thereafter, in the year 1998 on the basis of merit list respondent No.2 prepared a selection list for the post of Shiksha Karmi Grade-I (Lecturers) on the reguiar pay scale of Rs.1200-40-2000. As per the petitioner's information and also as per the newspaper's clippings (Annexure-P/3) the petitioner's ~~name~~ was selected and put in the waiting list above the name of respondent No.3 herein. The petitioner made several representations but his name was not published in the list issued by respondent No.2 and the representations made by the petitioner are not being attended by respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation before respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel for respondent No.1 has no objection.

(शेष अगले पृष्ठ पर)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर 32

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and looking to the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 within a period of two weeks from today and in turn respondent No.2 will decide the representation of the petitoner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the points raised by the petitoner in an objelctive manner in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, I.A. No.3087/2005 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

(पीछे देखिये)